

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/739,503	12/19/2000	G. George Reeves	P-2986.003	3128
24112 75	90 02/01/2006		EXAMINER	
COATS & BENNETT, PLLC			JONES, SCOTT E	
P O BOX 5				<u> </u>
RALEIGH, NC 27602			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/739,503	REEVES, G. GEORGE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott E. Jones	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/19/0	04.12/6/04.3/31/05					
	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
. —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,10,12-27,29,30,32-51,81,82,85-88,91,93 and 95-117</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>97,98,107,116 and 117</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>97,90,707,170 and 777</u> Israic allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,10,12-27,29,30,32-50,81,82,85-88,91,93,95,96,99-106 and 108-115</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>51</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
_						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>1/23/02</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No				
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,	ad				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	<del></del>					

Art Unit: 3714

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to the amendments filed on August 19, 2004, December 6, 2004, and March 31, 2005, respectively in which applicant amends claims 1, 32, 88, 91, 95, and 96, cancels claims 8, 11, 28, 31, 83-84, 89-90, 92, and 94, adds new claims 97-117, and responds to the claim rejections. Claims 1-7, 9-10, 12-27, 29-30, 32-51, 81-82, 85-88, 91, 93, and 95-117 are pending.

# Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities as previously noted in Office Action, Paper No. 21:
  - On page 1, line 18, the "." between "performance" and "to" should be deleted.
  - On page 4, line 17, "show" should be "shown".
  - On page 7, line 32, "coarse" should be "course".

Correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7, 9-10, 12-20, 24-27, 29-30, 32-41, 45-50, 81-82, 85-88, 91, 93, 95-96, 99-104, 106, 108-113, and 115 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reeves (U.S. 5,740,077) in view of Fisher (U.S. 5,507,485).

ß

Reeves (U.S. 5,740,077) discloses a golf round data system including a portable data collection unit adapted to be carried by the user for displaying information to the user and for recording data concerning the golf round. Reeves discloses what is claimed in claims 4, 9-10, 12-19, 24, 29-30, 33-40, 48-49, 100-102, 104, 106, 109-111, 113, and 115.

Although Reeves discloses a display showing golf information to a user, it does not seem to explicitly disclose a device that dynamically generates a graphical view of a selected portion of the golf course based on the user's current location; and having a view showing at least one of the golf course features as recited in claim 1.

However, Fisher (U.S. 5,507,485), like Reeves teaches of a golf computer device that records a golfer's score, statistical data, and other data relating to a golf game. Therefore, Fisher and Reeves are analogous art. Fisher additionally shows:

Regarding Claims 1, 7, 32, 86, 87, 88, 91, 93, 95-96, 99, and 108:

- dynamically generated a graphical view of a selected portion of the golf course based on the user's current location, said dynamically generated view including a portion of the golf course between the user's current position and the cup for the hole currently being played; or the dynamically generated view is from the current location of the user; or the dynamically generated view is from the vantage point of the user (Figs. 4a-4c, 5, 21, 23, Column 1, lines 6-19, Column 3, lines 39-45, 55-60, Column 4, lines 10-15, Column 9, lines 19-23, Column 10, lines 54-62, and Column 12, lines 8-9);
- a graphic display to display the graphical view of the selected portion of the golf course, the graphical view including at least one of the golf course features (Figs. 4a-

Application/Control Number: 09/739,503 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

4c, 5, 21, 23, Column 1, lines 6-19, Column 3, lines 39-45, 55-60, Column 4, lines 10-15, Column 10, lines 54-62, and Column 12, lines 8-9).

• the graphic display is adapted to indicate a visual indication representing the probable landing area (a point is a circle and has an area,  $A_{circle} = \pi r^2$ ) of the golf ball/intended path or direction of the golf ball as a result of the next stroke (Abstract, Fig. 5, 23, and Column 11, lines 5-15).

Regarding Claims 2 and 26:

• the course data is transferred to the data collection unit via a wireless communication link (Column 6, lines 10-14, Column 7, lines 5-13).

Regarding Claims 3, 27, 32, 45, 46, and 85:

• the wireless communication link is a cellular telephone data channel (Column 5, line 52-Column 6, line 14, Column 7, lines 5-13).

Regarding Claims 5, 25, 103, and 112:

• the course data is transferred to the collection unit from a file accessible via the Internet (Column 9, lines 42-44).

Regarding Claim 6:

• the course data is transferred to the data collection unit by installing removable memory media to the data collection unit (Column 6, lines 10-14).

Regarding Claims 20 and 41:

• the microprocessor is further adapted for voice recognition of at least one selected word (Fig. 5 (39), and Column 6, lines 46-62).

Regarding Claim 50:

Art Unit: 3714

• the graphic display is adapted to indicate the region on the course within which the ball will probably rest following the user's next stroke taking into consideration a club selected by a user and the predetermined skill level (Abstract, Fig. 5, 23, and Column 11, lines 5-15).

Page 5

# Regarding Claim 47:

• the stroke data is transferred from the cellular radiotelephone to a data file accessible via the Internet (Column 5, line 52-Column 6, line 14, Column 7, lines 5-13).

# Regarding Claim 48:

• the same limitations indicated in Claim 29 except that the portable golf round data system is a cellular radiotelephone that communicates on a cellular network (Column 5, line 52-Column 6, line 14, Column 7, lines 5-13, Column 9, lines 42-44).

#### Regarding Claim 49:

• the same limitations indicated in Claim 30 except that the portable golf round data system is a cellular radiotelephone that communicates on a cellular network (Column 5, line 52-Column 6, line 14, Column 7, lines 5-13, Column 9, lines 42-44).

#### Regarding Claim 81:

 the data processor is external to the cellular radiotelephone and the data processor is operatively connected to the cellular radiotelephone through wireless data transfer (Column 6, lines 10-14).

### Regarding Claim 82:

 at least a portion of the data storage is external to the cellular radiotelephone (Column 6, lines 10-14).

ſ

Art Unit: 3714

ſ

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the applicant's invention, to incorporate the enhancements of the interactive golf game information system of Fisher in the golf round data system of Reeves. Doing so enables a golfer to access golf analysis, statistics, predictions, advice and golf course data utilizing a graphics display enhancing the player's golfing experience.

Furthermore, regarding Claims 1, 7, 32, 93, 99, and 108, Fisher teaches, "a golf computer which includes means for automatically locating the position of the cart and/or golfer at any position on any hole or within any golf course. In addition, the golf computer advantageously includes means responsive to said position location (of cart and/or golfer) for automatically updating the computer's graphical display to show the geographical feature of immediate interest to the golfer." (Column 3, lines 55-60). Still further, Fisher discloses, "means for providing multiple selectable views of each hole, including bird's eye, and straight ahead (golfer's perspective) views of the entirety of the hole and the approach to the green (i.e. between the golfer and the hole on the green), as well as, a detailed view of the green including its topographical features such as slopes." (Column 4, lines 10-15, and Column 9, lines 19-23). Lastly, in reference to figure 21, "Display state 280 is the normal" state to which the replay unit returns after executing any of functions 281-286. In state 280, the screen displays a portion of the golf course surrounding the present position of the ball. The player can execute a change view command 282 in order to select an appropriate magnification--i.e., whole, approach or green--and to select an appropriate perspective--i.e., straight ahead or bird's eye. After selection of the desired view, the replay unit returns to the display state." (Column 10, lines 54-62).

Art Unit: 3714

it Offit. 3714

5. Claims 21-23, 42-44, 105, and 114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reeves (U.S. 5,740,077) in view of Fisher (U.S. 5,507,485) as applied to claims 1-7, 9-10, 12-20, 24-27, 29-30, 32-41, 45-50, 81-82, 85-88, 91, 93, 95-96, 99-104, 106, 108-113, and 115 above, and further in view of Lobsenz (U.S. 6,030,109).

Page 7

Reeves in view of Fisher teaches that as discussed above with regards to Claims 1-7, 9-10, 12-20, 24-27, 29-30, 32-41, 45-50, 81-82, 85-88, 91, 93, 95-96, 99-104, 106, 108-113, and 115. Reeves in view of Fisher seems to meet all of the applicant's claimed subject matter with the possible exception of:

Regarding Claims 21, 42, 105, and 114:

 the stroke register includes a microprocessor adapted to receive and recognize telemetry signals emitted by telemetry equipped golf clubs.

Regarding Claims 22 and 43:

• the telemetry signals include sounds emitted by a telemetry-equipped club when a stroke is taken with said club.

Regarding Claims 23 and 44:

• the telemetry signals include radio signals emitted by a telemetry-equipped club when a stroke is taken with said club.

Lobsenz (U.S. 6,030,109) teaches of a golf scoring system in which one or more sensors are positioned in close proximity to the location where a golf club strikes a golf ball in connection with a golf shot. Lobsenz teaches:

Regarding Claims 21, 42, 105, and 114:

Art Unit: 3714

• the stroke register includes a microprocessor adapted to receive and recognize telemetry signals emitted by telemetry equipped golf clubs (Abstract, Figure 1, and Column 2, line 28-Column 3, line21, and Column3, line 53-Column 4, line 21).

Regarding Claims 22 and 43:

• the telemetry signals include sounds emitted by a telemetry-equipped club when a stroke is taken with said club (Abstract, Figure 1, and Column 2, line 28-Column 3, line 21, and Column 3, line 53-Column 4, line 21).

Regarding Claims 23 and 44:

• the telemetry signals include radio signals emitted by a telemetry-equipped club when a stroke is taken with said club (Abstract, Figure 1, and Column 2, line 28-Column 3, line 21, and Column 3, line 53-Column 4, line 21).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the applicant's invention, to incorporate the golf scoring system of Lobsenz in the golf round information system of Reeves in view of Fisher. Doing so enables the golf scoring system of Reeves in view of Fisher to automatically count the numbers and types of strokes taken by a player during the course of a round of golf and for processing, displaying, and transmitting information based upon such count either in real time or after play has concluded.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 97-98, 107, and 116-117 are allowed.
- 7. Claim 51 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3714

# Response to Arguments

- Regarding claims 1 and 32, applicant alleges Fisher does not teach, "a visual indication 8. representing the probable landing area/intended path or direction of the golf ball as a result of the next stroke" However, upon further review, the examiner respectfully disagrees. As noted in previous Office Action, Paper No. 19, Fisher teaches, "From a given position on the course, the player may invoke the practice shot command 284 to view the predicted results of hypothetical shots, using, for instance, different clubs or swings. This unique feature allows the player, at home, to experiment with alternative approaches to actual game situations. Because the practice shot feature simulates the actual--and learned--stroke capabilities of the particular player, the player may improve his own strategic approach to a given course during idle time at home. After completing the practice shot, the replay unit returns to display state 280." (column 11, lines 5-15). Furthermore, in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show "the probable landing area of the golf ball as a result of the next stroke". The examiner asserts Fisher's "practice shot" command satisfies this element as the display indicates the position or point at where the ball will probably land. Although the point at which the golf ball lands may be small, it has an infinitesimal area. Therefore, the combination of Reeves and Fisher renders the claimed invention obvious.
- 9. Regarding claims 99 and 108, Applicant alleges neither Reeves nor Fisher, alone or in combination, teach or suggest the limitation, "a visual indication representing the intended path or direction of the golf ball as a result of the next stroke." Applicant points to Fisher's alleged "automatic selection of one of only three distinct views". The examiner directs applicant to Figs. 1 and 4a of the instant application. In each figure, the "intended path of the ball due to the next

Page 9

Art Unit: 3714

Page 10

stroke" is a straight line to the central portion of the green (25). Furthermore, the intended path of the ball is directed down the center of the fairway. The examiner asserts that although Fisher does not explicitly show a "line" on the screen indicating the path of the ball due to the next stroke that Fisher does show, whether in birds eye view or in the player perspective, the intended path of the ball due to the next stroke, that is, typically towards the center of the fairway or green, etc. Furthermore, this feature is taught in Fisher's "practice shot". Therefore, the combination of Reeves and Fisher renders the claimed invention obvious.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott E. Jones whose telephone number is (571) 272-4438. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 A.M. - 5:00 P.M..

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBB) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott E. Jones Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3714